

Case 2:25-cv-01503-TLN-JDP Document 29 Filed 08/11/25 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The proposed findings and recommendations filed June 4, 2025, (ECF No. 11), are ADOPTED;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order filed on May 5, 2025, (ECF No. 6), is DENIED; and
- 3. This action is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial matters.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: August 8, 2025